The Bihalide Ions ClHCl- and BrHBr-: Crystal Structures of Cesium Chloride-¹/₃-(Hydronium Bichloride) and Cesium Bromide-¹/₃-(Hydronium Bibromide)

BY LEROY W. SCHROEDER AND JAMES A. IBERS

Received October 13, 1967

The compositions and crystal structures of the unusual substances cesium chloride–1/3-(hydronium bichloride), CsCl·1/3-H₃OHCl₂, and cesium bromide–1/3-(hydronium bibromide), CsBr·1/3H₃OHBr₂, have been established from three-dimensional X-ray studies of single crystals of these materials. The conventional *R* factors for the data collected by film methods are 0.093 and 0.085, respectively. Both substances crystallize in space group P6₃/m of the hexagonal system with six formula units per cell. At room temperature the lattice parameters for the chloride complex are $a = 10.28 \pm 0.02$, $c = 6.78 \pm 0.02$ Å; those for the bromide complex are $a = 10.65 \pm 0.02$, $c = 7.08 \pm 0.02$ Å. Both compounds have strings of hydronium ions parallel to the *c* axis. Cesium is coordinated to nine halide ions which are all involved in hydrogen bonds. A string of bichloride ions is parallel to the *c* axis in CsCl·1/3H₃OHCl₂ as is a string of bibromide ions in the bromine compound. The bichloride ion has a Cl-H-Cl distance of 3.14 ± 0.02 Å; the corresponding distance in the bibromide ion is 3.35 ± 0.02 Å.

Introduction

The precipitate formed when HCl is bubbled through a saturated CsCl solution has been the subject of some dispute.¹⁻³ In a preliminary report⁴ we showed that at least one of the substances that is formed in this reaction, namely CsCl·1/3H3OHCl2, differs from those proposed previously. Although our preliminary objective in studying the system was to attempt to resolve through a complete structure determination the composition and crystal structure of the precipitate, we were delighted that the resultant material did indeed contain the bichloride ion, for its geometry had not been determined previously. The bihalide ions, X-H-X⁻, in many ways represent the simplest of the strongly hydrogen-bonded systems, and these are amenable to study by a variety of methods. (See, for example, the discussion of the bifluoride ion in ref 5 and 6.) Previous investigations⁷ have indicated that the bibromide ion is somewhat less stable than the bichloride ion. Nevertheless we have successfully prepared the analogous bromide compound CsBr. $1/_{3}H_{3}OHBr_{2}$ by passing HBr through a solution of CsBr. In this paper we report the details of our preparation and characterization of these two compounds.

Experimental Section

 $CsCl \cdot 1/_{3}H_{3}OHCl_{2}$.---West's¹ initial preparation was followed: 2 g of cesium chloride was dissolved in 1.5 ml of water. Commercial anhydrous HCl was bubbled through the solution until a white, needlelike crystalline material precipitated. West reported that his material was stable at room temperature, but only in the presence of the mother liquor or HCl gas. Our material exhibits similar behavior.

Consequently, all operations upon the crystal had to be carried out in the mother liquor or in the presence of HCl. This was accomplished by use of a glass tee having an inlet and an outlet for HCl gas and a connection for the X-ray capillary tube. The outlet opposite the capillary tube was fitted with a rubber cap through which a small glass rod could be inserted to push a crystal into the capillary. A drop of mother liquor, containing a few crystals, was quickly put into the glass tee. The system was then closed and HCl was allowed to flow through the apparatus. Since the tee was transparent, the crystal could be viewed through a microscope. A suitable crystal was pushed out of the drop of the mother liquor and into the capillary. Then the capillary was sealed off. Thus at all times the crystal was either in contact with the mother liquor or HCl gas. Under these conditions no decomposition of the crystal occurred.

Weissenberg and precession photographs, taken with Mo K α radiation (λ 0.7107 Å), showed CsCl·1/₂H₈OHCl₂ to have Laue symmetry 6/m. The hexagonal lattice constants determined from precession photographs are $a = 10.28 \pm 0.02$ and $c = 6.78 \pm 0.02$ Å at room temperature. The unit cell volume is 620 Å³ and the calculated density is 3.19 g/cm³ for six formula units per cell. The material's instability prevented a measurement of its density. The only systematic absences observed are for 00*l* when $l \neq 2n$; thus the most probable space groups are P6₃/m and P6₃.

Intensity data were collected at room temperature by the equiinclination Weissenberg technique for successive layers hk0 through hk8 using Mo K α radiation and multiple films. The 500 independent integrated intensities were estimated visually against a calibrated strip. The intensities were reduced to values of F_o^2 in the usual way.

The crystal was irregularly shaped and its approximate dimensions were $0.95 \times 0.20 \times 0.25$ mm. After the composition of the material was determined from the structure, an absorption coefficient was computed ($\mu = 99.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). An absorption correction was applied to F_0^2 by integration over the six idealized crystal faces (010), (100), (001), etc.⁸ The correction is necessarily approximate owing to the difficulty of measuring an irregularly shaped crystal which is inside a capillary tube.

One previous preparation yielded crystals that proved later to be orthorhombic (see ref 1). Weissenberg photographs indicated that for a general reflection, hkl, k + l = 2n and for h0l, both h and l must be even. Thus the possible space groups are Amam (Cmcm, setting $\bar{c}ba$) and Ama2. The unit cell parameters at room temperature are $a = 5.98 \pm 0.02$, $b = 23.37 \pm$

⁽¹⁾ R. W. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 4568 (1957).

⁽²⁾ R. E. Valleé and D. H. McDaniel, ibid., 84, 3412 (1962).

⁽³⁾ A. G. Maki and R. W. West, Inorg. Chem., 2, 657 (1963).

 ⁽⁴⁾ L. W. Schroeder and J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 2601 (1966).
 (5) R. Blinc. Nature. 182, 1016 (1958).

⁽⁶⁾ B. L. McGaw and J. A. Ibers, J. Chem. Phys., **39**, 2677 (1963).

⁽⁷⁾ R. E. Valleé and D. H. McDaniel, Inorg. Chem., 2, 996 (1963).

⁽⁸⁾ Absorption corrections were made using the program wABS, based on Burnham's GNABS. In addition to various local programs, local variations of Zalkin's FORDAP Fourier program, the Busing-Levy ORLS least-squares program and ORFEB error function program, and Johnson's ORTEP thermal ellipsoid plotting program were used.

0.01, and $c = 8.42 \pm 0.02$ Å. This gives a unit cell volume of 1177 Å³.

The volume per Cl in CsCl is 70 Å³ and in the hexagonal compound 62 Å³. CsCl·³/₄H₃OCl could crystallize in Ama2 with eight formula units per cell. This gives a volume of 77 Å³ per Cl. The volume per Cs is then 146 Å³ compared with 104 Å³ in the hexagonal form and 70 Å³ in CsCl. It seems likely that the orthorhombic crystals contain relatively less Cs than the hexagonal ones. Thus the orthorhombic crystals could have the composition CsCl·³/₄H₃OCl previously proposed,^{2,3} especially since West¹ provided evidence that his material belonged to the orthorhombic system.

We were not able to confirm this hypothesis because subsequent attempts to prepare this material failed, and the original crystals decomposed.

 $CsBr \cdot 1/_{2}H_{3}OHBr_{2}$.—One gram of CsBr was dissolved in 1 ml of water. Anhydrous HBr was bubbled through the solution until CsBr precipitated. Adding more HBr caused the CsBr to redissolve, indicating some type of complex formation. Finally after the addition of still more HBr a needlelike crystalline material precipitated that subsequent study proved to be CsBr \cdot 1/_{3}H_{3}OHBr_{2}. CsBr · 1/_{3}H_{3}OHBr_{2} was handled in the manner previously described for the chlorine compound.

Weissenberg and precession photographs taken with Mo K α radiation gave diffraction patterns resembling those of cesium chloride⁻¹/₈-(hydronium bichloride). The same space group and a similar structure were indicated. The hexagonal cell parameters that were determined from precession photographs at room temperature are $a = 10.65 \pm 0.02$ and $c = 7.08 \pm 0.02$ Å. The unit cell volume is 697 Å³ and the calculated density is 3.51 g/cm³ for six formula units per cell. A density could not be measured because of the instability of the material.

A crystal, approximately cylindrical, with a length of 0.30 mm and an average radius of 0.068 mm was used for collecting data. Intensities were recorded by the equiinclination Weissenberg technique for successive layers hk0 through hk2 with Mo K α radiation and multiple films. At this point the data collection was terminated because of decomposition of the crystal. A total of 128 independent, integrated reflections were estimated visually using a calibrated strip. Equiinclination Lorentz and polarization factors were applied to the average intensities. After confirmation of the proposed composition, the absorption coefficient was calculated to be 199 cm⁻¹. An absorption correction was applied by approximating the cylinder as an eight-faced prism consisting of the faces of the type (001), (110), and (011).

From the incomplete Weissenberg data set we established that the hypothesized composition was correct and that the material did contain the bibromide ion. We therefore decided to collect additional data to improve our description of the ion. Since the bromines involved have only a variable z parameter, reflections with large values of l are most helpful in fixing the value of zmore accurately. Since CsBr·1/sH₃OHBr₂ does not appear to be especially stable, we chose to collect reflections with high values of l by the precession method.

A second crystal was mounted on a precession camera with c^* along the spindle axis. The reciprocal lattice nets h0l, h1l, 0kl, 1kl, h2hl, and 2hhl were collected. A series of timed exposures was used to simulate the multiple film technique. Intensities were estimated by comparison with a calibrated strip. Lorentz-polarization factors were applied to obtain values of kF_a^2 . Although the crystal was a plate of dimensions $0.15 \times 0.25 \times 0.30$ mm, we could not use Buerger's⁹ correction for absorption by a plate parallel to the reciprocal lattice plane because the crystal did not intercept the entire X-ray beam.

We know absorption is important ($\mu = 199$ cm⁻¹), but it is difficult to estimate the error involved in neglecting the absorption correction because the crystal was a plate. The only reliable way would be to calculate transmission factors by methods designed for any crystal shape. However, when the Weissenberg and precession data were combined good agreement between the new scale factors and those previously derived by leastsquares refinement was obtained. Since the Weissenberg data were corrected for absorption, this indicated that the absorption correction was relatively constant for a given layer of precession data.

Solution and Refinement of the Structures

Cesium Chloride–1/3-(Hydronium Bichloride).—The peaks corresponding to vectors between Cs positions were readily located on a three-dimensional Patterson map and found to have a z coordinate of 0 or 1/2. From the previous formulations and the unit cell volume (620 Å³) a reasonable density could be calculated if there were four or six formula units in the unit cell. On the assumption of six formula units these Cs peaks are readily explicable if Cs is in (6h) of P6₃/m or in (6c) of P6₃. P6₃/m was assumed to be the correct space group.

Least-squares refinement on Cs with a separate scale factor for each layer and an isotropic thermal parameter gave a value of 0.27 for $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_o||/\Sigma|F_o|$. In all calculations of F_o , the atomic scattering factor for Cs was that of Cromer and Waber.¹⁰ The effects of anomalous dispersion were included in F_o ; the values of f' and f'' for Cs, Cl, and Br are those given by Cromer.¹¹

The structure was solved by a succession of least squares and Fourier calculations. The signs of the calculated structure factors for cesium were used with the observed structure factors to calculate a difference Fourier map. In this manner chlorine atoms Cl_1 and Cl₂ were located in the six- and fourfold positions (6h) and (4f) of $P6_8/m$. The ratio of chlorine to cesium is thus 5/3. A difference Fourier map computed at this point showed, as its only significant feature, a large peak (11 e/Å^3) at position (4e) 00z, with z approximately $^{1/8}$. This position is equidistant from three Cl₁ atoms, at the apex of a relatively flat pyramid. Such a position is expected for the hydronium ion H₃O⁺ entering into three O-H-Cl bonds as in H₃OCl.¹² Vet in P6₃/m an atom at 00z is related by symmetry to an atom at $00^{1/2} - z$ leading to an O–O distance of 1.8 Å. Since adjacent sites cannot be occupied simultaneously, the effective occupancy is equivalent to 0.5 oxygen atom in (4e). This matter is discussed more fully in the next section. The values of R_1 and $R_2 = (\Sigma w (F_0 - F_0)^2 / \Sigma w F_0^2)^{1/2}$ that had been 0.17 and 0.26 dropped to 0.14 and 0.21with the inclusion of the half-oxygen.14

By now the composition of the material had been established as Cs:Cl:O = 3:5:1. Moreover, the presence of H_3O^+ was inferred from the stereochemistry. Charge balance is maintained by the formulation CsCl·1/ $_{3}H_{3}O^{+.1}/_{3}H^{+.2}/_{3}Cl^{-}$. The implication that this compound contains the bichloride ion HCl_2^{-} is supported by the fact that one of the Cl₂-Cl₂

(12) Y. K. Yoon and G. B. Carpenter, ibid., 12, 17 (1959).

⁽⁹⁾ M. J. Buerger, "The Precession Method in X-Ray Crystallography," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1964, p 224.

⁽¹⁰⁾ D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, Acta Cryst., 18, 104 (1965).

⁽¹¹⁾ D. T. Cromer, ibid., 18, 17 (1965).

⁽¹³⁾ The weighting scheme employed was $w = (10I_{\min})^2/F_0$ for $I > 10I_{\min}$, and $w = I^2/F_0^2$ for $I < 10I_{\min}$.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Scattering factors for Br, Cl, and O from "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. 3, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, Table 3.3.1A.

distances is appreciably shorter than a van der Waals interaction. These facts confirm that the compound is $CsCl \cdot 1/_{3}H_{3}OHCl_{2}$. An absorption coefficient was calculated to be $\mu = 99.1$ cm⁻¹. This was applied to F_{o}^{2} with the assumptions given in the Experimental Section. Subsequent least-squares refinement using the corrected data gave $R_1 = 0.093$ and $R_2 = 0.10$. A final difference Fourier map showed no features higher than 3 e/Å³, one-quarter the height of a half-oxygen, and provided some evidence for anisotropy in the cesium position. Because the absorption correction was only approximate and the data could only be collected about one axis, we felt that any anisotropic thermal parameters that could have been obtained would reflect systematic errors in our data rather than physical reality. Thus the calculations were terminated with isotropic refinement.

Nature of the Disorder.—Since the adjacent oxygen sites (4e) of P6₃/m are separated by 1.8 Å, they cannot both be occupied simultaneously. Thus any given string of hydronium ions must be ordered, but all the strings do not have their ordered oxygen atoms starting at the same value of z. Suppose that a given string of hydronium ions has all oxygen atoms below the planes of the three chlorine atoms and assume further that this string exerts no influence on adjacent strings. Then there is a 50% chance that a surrounding string will have its oxygen atoms above the planes of the three chlorine atoms. Thus the overall scattering from the oxygen atoms is equivalent to that scattered by placing half-oxygen atoms in positions (4e) of P6₃/m.

A completely ordered structure is allowed in space group P6₃. One puts Cs into (6c) with $z_{Cs} = 1/4$ (to fix the origin), Cl_1 into (6c), and O into (2a). The Cl₂ atom must now be distributed over two sets of positions (2b). It follows that the z coordinates of these two independent atoms must sum approximately to 1/2, since this condition holds rigorously in P6₃/m. In order to test the possibility that the space group is P63 and the oxygen atoms are ordered, several refinements were carried out in P63. Initial values of the parameters were chosen near to those found in $P6_3/m$. The refinement converged to a value of R_2 of 0.11. It was found that the z parameters of the two Cl₂ atoms did indeed sum to 1/2, within the standard deviations of the parameters, even though this is not required by the space group. In an additional refinement the condition was imposed that these parameters sum exactly to 1/2, but the refinement led to essentially the same results. In a final refinement this condition was relaxed and it was assumed that hkl was really $h\bar{k}l$ in order to test the possibility that in this polar space group we had the wrong absolute orientation. The results were the same as before. All of these refinements involve additional parameters over those varied in $P6_3/m$ and yet they all lead to higher values of R_2 . If one assumes that there are only random errors in the data, then these refinements in P6₃ can be rejected in favor of the refinement in $P6_3/m$. For this reason we favor the description of the structure in P6₃/m. Of greater importance structurally, these refinements lead to essentially the same parameter values as found for the model in P6₃/m, with the exception that the value of z of Cl₁ is 0.265 (3), rather than $^{1}/_{4}$. The bichloride ion geometry is invariant within one standard deviation to the choice of space group.

Cesium Bromide–¹/₃-(**Hydronium Bibromide**).—The 128 reflections were used to see if the structure corresponded to that of CsCl·¹/₃H₃OHCl₂, as suggested by the diffraction patterns. We chose for our structural model the CsCl·¹/₃H₃OHCl₂ structure with chlorine replaced by bromine. Least-squares refinement on Cs in the same position as in the chlorine compound yielded a value of $R_1 = 0.39$ with very small parameter shifts. The inclusion of bromines and the half-oxygen gave $R_1 = 0.098$. The weighting scheme used was $w = (7I_{\min})^2/F^2$ for $I > 7I_{\min}$ and $w = I_0^2/F^2$ for $I < 7I_{\min}$.

Little difference was observed in the agreement between observed and calculated structure factors when an absorption correction was applied. The absorption factor was about the same for all reflections with a range of 0.14 to 0.16 corresponding to a 12%variation. A difference Fourier map was computed in order to check for completeness of the structure. There were no peaks higher than 2.8 e/Å³, one-half the height of the half-oxygen, and none where hydrogen would be expected. With the composition and structure of CsBr·1/₃H₃OHBr₂ established we proceeded to improve our description of the structure by adding the precession data to the observed structure factors. The precession data agreed with the model determined from the Weissenberg data.

The Weissenberg and precession data were combined and scaled to approximately absolute scale by using the scale factors for the separate layers derived from previous least-squares refinement. Then the data were interscaled and averaged using the Hamilton– Rollett–Sparks scaling algorithm.¹⁵ In the process all observations of a given reflection and all equivalent reflections were used to form a weighted average. The weighting scheme was made equivalent to the previously described scheme used in the structure refinement. This produced 204 independent reflections.

Least-squares refinement of the merged data, using isotropic temperature factors, resulted in values of R_1 and R_2 equal to 0.093 and 0.12. The scale factor changed from unity to 1.02. At this point the temperature factor of oxygen was slightly negative.

A refinement in P6₃ under the same conditions as described for the chlorine compound also gave a negative temperature factor for oxygen and the value 0.12 for R_2 . All positional parameters except z of Br₁ were equal (within σ) to those derived by refinement in P6₃/m. Since refinement in P6₈ with three more variables does not result in better agreement between observed and calculated structure factors it seems

⁽¹⁵⁾ W. C. Hamilton, J. S. Rollett, and R. A. Sparks, Acta Cryst., 18, 129 (1965).

		TABLE]	L		
OBSERVED AND C.	ALCULATED STRUCTURE	Amplit	UDES IN	ELECTRONS FOR	$\mathrm{CsX}\cdot^{1}/_{\scriptscriptstyle{3}}\mathrm{H}_{\scriptscriptstyle{3}}\mathrm{OHX}_{2}$
		Y ~	C1		

7 2 2 4 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	K LO	H F S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
0***** 301 323 318 366 135 136 107 129 1***** 74 56 31 28 56 47 71 75	50 9.1 5	15 CAL 31 55 70 15 26 4 16 4 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 1 4 7 7 8 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
	ĸ	H H 10 - 12 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 13 - 14 - 13 - 14 - 13 - 14 - 112 - 13 - 14 - 12 - 111 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L ORS ON	- 099 211
71026500111	×	1.34567890123456789012434456789010507800144141414456790
	1	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
1 2**** 2 98 98 5 56 50 115 11 1139 139 139 13 1131 139 1131 110 12 133 131 10 2 138 2 136 2 136 3 83 5 75 6 91 133 13	OBS CA	088 0.1
* 3006414418550055000	r	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ĸ	7
2 766 3 156 4 117 5 117	I 033	5555566666666777777788888899990012 5555566666667777777788888899990012 110110848499990012 1101108484955
75 140 114 124 31 78 96 47 80 59 54 59 54 59 54 59 54 59 54 59 54 50 59 54 50 59 54 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	GAJ	CA 34499172819477846780858273237213163544411 . 6679146687
0000111111888999994	y	H 114587878787878787878787878787878787878787
246801345012013451	L	K 01
176 162 270 246 83 75 92 91 85 78 140 137 93 84 67 67 90 89 62 50 110 106 62 50 110 106 155 169 77 77 63 61 101 101 48 41 52 48	DBS CAL	S CAL 27 A441 A44 A44 A44 A44 A44 A44 A44 A44 A4
6 6 6 7 8 9 ••• 0 0 0 0 0 0	¥	H 111 4 1111 1 111111111111111111111111
1 2 3 5 6 2 2 2 8 4 4 1 2 3 5 6 2 2 2 8 4 7 0 1	L	
90 95 67 42 357 101 64 97 45 42 87 41 28	X 078 (BS 0/ 11218 5799 572 540 540 540 540 540 540 540 540
81 90 66 45 55 88 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 66 55 88 55 88 56 80 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 66 55 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	. 	L
1222223344456778	,£	R 2345670123456789014567895678901126790112478902
6 1 1 2 3 1 5 7 1 2 0 2 4 0 0 1 1	5 r 1.0	
72 68 14 116 26 137 460 127 460 127 460 127 460 127 460 127 460 127 460 127 460 77 73 41 33 33	BS CAI	S 1.957643746376975757575916876977843166508273778419937 C 1 6 1 7 4 6 2767575759169759777831069787374197783 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 9 1 9 7 7 7 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 7 7 8 3 1 0 6 9 7 1 0 6 7 1 0 6 7 1 0 6 7 1 0 7 1 0 7 1 0 0 7 1 0 0 7
0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 N N N N N N N N N	Y	N 8901234540124024-2
0 2 4 5 20 1 2 3 4 M 7 0 1 N 0 2 0	t	K 777777777777777777777777777777777777
130 133 185 184 86 77 93 95 40 37 113 121 176 184 130 129 96 91 101 113 43 42 81 84 93 89 70 59 81 85 132 124	075 C4I	DES CAL. A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
5556	r	H 45678764889787878787878787878787878787878787878
13 45 4 0 2 4 0 1 2 3 4 5	ī	×
64 50 349 6 105 467 103 512 565 68	095	556978285036921517905747169010610790849559601 556978285036921517905747169010610790849559601
43 51 50 35 37 105 66 104 54 43 43 643 71	SAI	G 6761912512344 3421215245312543221412235243112 54322141223524311
33356666 *******************************	ĸ	H 24233 * 23457345694567067979 * 589234567345
235621235 HB 02360	L	K 00 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
81 92 03 91 53 64 42 42 59 52 77 87 70 76 71 73 51 52 7***** 48 41 38 38 38 38 42 40 45 45	ONS CAL	IS CAI 21 14 4 4 21 14 14 4 21 14 4 4 21 14 4 21 14 14 4 21 14 14 4 21 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
4 7 7 7 7 7 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 2	r	11 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 00 0
1123 HE 0246013450	I	X
49 60 52 38 39 27 58 33 8**** 28 154 773 179 94 94 63 63 46 48 43 51 53 44 33 96 105	93 3.11	15 45313366787703144517850827450922282213133 ********************************
	¥	N 456567067979901 * 568247N346945685679879878907899
2 6 2 4 •H=1 6 1 2 3 3	1. :	7 JJJ444455566777 L UUULLL22223JJJ444455555566718 C 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 122223JJJ444455555566718
80 41 43 52 0	sns.	1 2212553423317443 • 4132121123124344267164583589 6 (
79 37 78 43 63 30 48 49 41	GAT	DA 221245342333232 • 41431221132232411112122212211

likely that the same disorder that exists in CsCl. ¹/₃H₃OHCl₂ exists also in the bromine structure (see previous discussion on CsCl·1/3H3OHCl2). A final refinement was carried out using anisotropic temperature factors on all atoms except oxygen. The anisotropic refinement had little effect on the value of R_2 , but the thermal model is physically reasonable. It is virtually indistinguishable from an isotropic model except for the motion of Br2. We attach moderate significance to these temperature factors because the precession data were not corrected for absorption. A final difference Fourier map was computed in which all atoms except hydrogen were subtracted out. No peaks higher than 2.7 e/Å³ (two-thirds the height of the half-oxygen) were found and none corresponded to anticipated hydrogen positions.

The observed and calculated structure amplitudes in electrons for the two compounds are given in Table I. Table II gives the final atomic and thermal parameters. Table III gives the root-mean-square amplitudes of vibration for the bromine compound.

Results and Discussion

Table II shows (within the limits of the estimated errors) that the two compounds are isostructural. Thus Figure 1 shows the essential features of both structures since bromine and chlorine can be interchanged. Strings of hydronium ions and bihalide ions are parallel to the c axis. Three cesium and three halide atoms surround the bihalide ion in such a manner that the X-X axis of the ion coincides with

TABLE II Atomic Coordinates and Isotropic Temperature Factors for CsCl · 1/3H3OHCl2

	2 4014			
Atom	$x (10^4 \sigma_x)$	$y (10^4 \sigma_y)$	$z (10^4 \sigma_z)$	$B (10^2 \sigma_{\rm B})$
Cs	0.3855(1.6)	0.3704(1.6)	$\frac{1}{4^{a}}$	2.17(4)
Cl_1	0.7273(6)	0.7346(7)	1/4	2.39(10)
Cl_2	1/3	-1/3	0.0181(15)	2.66(12)
0	0	0	0.1104 (7)	2.17(63)
	Atomic Coo	rdinates for Cs	Br · ¹/₃H₃OHBı	2
Atom	$x (10^4 \sigma_x)$	$y (10^4 \sigma_y)$	$z (10^4 \sigma_2)$	
Cs	0.3834(4)	0.3735(4)	$1/4^a$	
Br_{i}	0.7285(7)	0.7320 (7)	1/4	
Br_2	1/3	-1/3	0.0131 (10)	
0	0	0	0.1081 (88)	
	Thermal Pa	rameters for Cs	Br·1/3H3OHB	r ₂
Atom	$\beta_{11} (10^4 \sigma)$	β_{22} (10 ⁴ σ)	β33 (10 ⁴ σ)	$\beta_{12} \ (10^4 \sigma)$

tom	$\beta_{11} (10^4 \sigma)$	$\beta_{22} (10^4 \sigma)$	β33 (10 ⁴ σ)	$\beta_{12} \ (10^4 \sigma)$
Ċs	0.0077(5)	0.0069(5)	0.0133(7)	0.0038(4)
Br_1	0.0085(8)	0.0075 (8)	0.0190(12)	0.0037 (7)
Br_2	0.0082(5)	β_{11}	0.0105 (14)	$^{1}/_{2}\beta_{11}$
O^b	$-0.083 (1830)^{\circ}$			

^a Coordinates indicated by simple fractions fixed by symmetry. ^b Refined isotropically. ^c B in Å². The form of the anisotropic thermal ellipsoid is $\exp[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk)].$

a threefold axis. All these atoms lie on a mirror plane that reflects one halide atom of the bihalide ion into the other. The strings of hydronium ions coincide with a 63 axis. The halide atoms entering into hydrogen bonds with the hydronium ions may be thought of as being arranged at the corners of octahedra (see Figure 1). These octahedra are contracted along their threefold axis and share faces.



CESIUM BROMICE 1/3 HYDRONIUM BIBROMIDE

CESIUM BROMICE 1/3 HYDRONIUM BIBROMIDE

Figure 1.—Sterescopic view of the $CsBr \cdot 1/3H_3OHBr_2$ structure looking perpendicular to the *ac* plane. The \hat{c} axis is vertical in the figure. The disorder of the oxygen positions is not shown, nor are the hydrogen positions. The shape of the ellipsoids indicates the direction of the principal axes of thermal vibration for all atoms except oxygen. Hydrogen bonds are shown as solid lines.

 TABLE III

 ROOT-MEAN-SQUARE AMPLITUDES OF VIBRATION (Å)

 FOR CsBr·1/3H₃OHBr₂

 om

 $\bot c (10^{\circ} \sigma)$
 $\bot c (10^{\circ} \sigma)$

Atom	$ c(10^{\circ}\sigma)$	$\perp c (10^{s}\sigma)$	⊥c (10³σ)
Cs	0.170(5)	0.182(6)	0.184(5)
Br1	0.180(9)	0.195(9)	0.220(7)
Br_2	0.163(11)	0.188(6)	0.188(6)

Cesium is coordinated to nine halide atoms. Figure 2 shows the geometry around cesium. X_1 , X_1' , X_1''' , and Cs all lie on a mirror plane. X_2-X_2 is the bihalide ion and X_2' belongs to a neighboring bihalide ion. The five halide ions (denoted X_1 , X_1' , X_1'' , X_1''' in Figure 2) which do not belong to bihalide ions are all hydrogen bonded to hydronium ions. Figure 1 shows this for the bromine compound. Thus in these remarkable compounds both the hydronium ion and the bihalide ion are stabilized by the cesium halide.



Figure 2.--View of the inner coordination sphere around cesium.

Table IV gives the important ionic and hydrogen bonded distances for the two compounds. Angles around cesium are given in Table V.

The geometry around oxygen in CsCl· $^{1}/_{3}$ H₃OHCl₂ is remarkably similar to that in H₃OCl.¹² The values in that study and the present one are O–Cl distance, 2.95 \pm 0.01, 2.92 \pm 0.02 Å; the Cl–O–Cl angle,

SELECTED INTER	ATOMIC DISTANCES IN	$\mathrm{CsX}\cdot {}^{1}/_{3}\mathrm{H}_{3}\mathrm{OHX}_{2}{}^{a}$
Interaction	$X = C1, d (10^2 \sigma_d)$	$(X = Br, d (10^2 \sigma_d))$
Cs-X1	3.64(1)	3.75(1)
Cs-X1	3.57(1)	3.73(1)
$Cs-X_1''$	3.50(1)	3,63(1)
Cs-X1'''	3.44(1)	3.56(1)
Cs-X ₂	3.69(1)	3.81(1)
$Cs-X_2'$	3.59(1)	3,75(1)
$X_2'-X_2'$	3.63(2)	3.73(2)
$X_2 - X_2$	3.14(2)	3.35(2)
$X_1 - X_2$	4.07(1)	4.25(1)
X_1-X_2	4.26(1)	4,37(1)
$O-X_1$	2.92(2)	3.04(2)
Cs-Cs	4.23(1)	5.37(1)

TABLE IV

^{*a*} Atoms designated by primes are equivalent to unprimed ones; the primes are for reference to Figure 2. X_1 is the halogen in (6h) and X_2 is the halogen in (4f) of P6₃/m.

	Table V	
Angles (in degrees)	around Cesium in	$\mathrm{CsX}^{1}/_{3}\mathrm{H}_{3}\mathrm{OHX}_{2}$
Angle	$X = Cl (10\sigma)$	$X = Br (10\sigma)$
X_1 -Cs- X_1'	108.2(1)	108.1(1)
X_1 -Cs- X_1''	131.2(2)	133.2(2)
X_1 -Cs- X_1'''	141.3(2)	139.3(2)
X_1 -Cs- X_2	68.3(1)	68.5(1)
X_1 -Cs- X_2'	72.2(1)	71.4(1)
$X_1^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -Cs- $X_1^{\prime\prime}$	87.5(2)	87.6(2)
X_1' -Cs- X_1'''	77.3(2)	77.4(2)
$X_1'-Cs-X_1''$	76.5(2)	76.6(2)
$X_1^{\prime\prime\prime}-Cs-X_2$	144.4(1)	144.4(1)
$X_1^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -Cs- X_2^{\prime}	74,5(1)	73.5(1)
X_1'' –Cs– X_2	68.7(1)	69.7(1)
X_1'' -Cs- X_2'	143.9(1)	143.8(1)
X_1' -Cs- X_1'	143.4(2)	143.7(2)
X_1' -Cs- X_2	71.7(2)	71.0(2)
$X_1'-Cs-X_2'$	69.2(2)	69.4(2)
$X_1'-Cs-X_2$	119.6(2)	120.7(2)
X_1' -Cs- X_2'	127.2(2)	126.3(2)
X_2 -Cs- X_2	50.3(2)	52.2(3)
X_2' –Cs– X_2'	60.8(2)	59.6(3)
X_2' -Cs- X_2	109.2(1)	109.0(1)
$X_2'-Cs-X_2$	139.2(1)	140.0(1)

110.4, 110.1°. The Cl_2 - Cl_2 contact of 3.14 Å, some 0.5 Å shorter than that reported in compounds having only van der Waals interactions, indicates a chemical bond between the two chlorine atoms. Thus we take

 3.14 ± 0.02 Å as the Cl–Cl distance in the bichloride ion in this compound.

In CsBr·1/₃H₃OHBr₂ the geometry around oxygen has O–Br distances of 3.04 ± 0.02 Å and a Br–O–Br angle of 111°. Again our evidence for the bibromide ion stems from the composition and Br–Br contacts. All Br–Br contacts are 4.2 Å or greater with the exception of the Br₂–Br₂ contacts. They are either 3.73 or 3.35 Å while the sum of usual van der Waals radii for bromide is 3.90 Å. The closest approach of two nonbonded bromines has been reported as 3.70 Å.^{16–18} This leaves one with the Br₂ contact of 3.35 Å which is shorter than any reported nonbonded contact.

The nonbonded distance of 3.73 Å could be accounted for by a static disorder of the bibromide ions in the following manner. Some of the bibromide ions could be directly opposite to each other instead of alternating as in Figure 1. The Br₂-Br₂ distances 3.73 and 3.35 Å would both be weighted averages of the normal van der Waals and the Br-Br distance in the bibromide ion. Consequently, the scattering density due to bromine would be smeared over a region 0.38 Å in the vertical direction. Thus the root-meansquare amplitude of vibration parallel to the strings should be greater than that perpendicular to the strings. However, the root-mean-square amplitude of vibration parallel to the strings is 0.163 ± 0.011 ; the corresponding value perpendicular to the strings is 0.188 ± 0.006 Å. Therefore, we conclude that the strings of bibromide ions are ordered and that $3.35 \pm$ 0.02 Å¹⁹ is the Br–Br distance in the bibromide ion.

The model of thermal motion obtained in this study (see Figure 1) suggests that the ion is vibrating more in a bending mode than in a stretching mode. This

(19) The Br-Br distance is 3.37 Å when averaged over thermal motion where the atoms are assumed to move independently. The nonbonded distance 3.73 Å similarly corrected is 3.75 Å. The magnitudes of the corrections are equal to the standard deviations σ of the distances.

seems physically reasonable considering that the bibromide ions are packed tightly end to end. The distance between the nonbonded bromines is only 3.73 Å.

The infrared spectrum of $CsCl \cdot 1/_3H_3OHCl_2$ gave some indications of band positions corresponding to those expected for the hydronium ion, but the results were largely inclusive owing to rapid decomposition of the material in Nujol or perfluorocarbon mulls.

The bibromide ion is 0.20 Å longer than the bichloride as expected. If we compare the radius sum with the observed X-X distance for the bihalide ions we see that the difference is about 0.47 Å for all three.²⁰

TABLE VI

COMPARISON	OF H BONDED AND	VAN DER	WAALS DISTANCES ²⁰
X-H-X	Radius sum, Å	X-X _{obsd} ,	Å Difference, Å
HF_2	2.73	2.26	0.47
HCl2	3.62	3.14	0.48
HBr ₂ -	3.81ª	3.35	0.46

^a This value represents the average of distances reported for the closest approach of two bromines not bonded to each other.

This comparison may be somewhat artificial because of the uncertainty in the value for the closest approach of two nonbonded bromines. Nevertheless, the contraction that occurs in the X-X distance when a bihalide ion is formed is larger than in the corresponding O-H-O bonds.²¹ This indicates a very strong hydrogen bond, in agreement with thermodynamic measurements.⁷

We are attempting to make a corresponding iodine compound in hopes of studying HI_2^{-} .

Acknowledgment.—This work has been generously supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense through the Northwestern University Materials Research Center.

(21) G. C. Pimentel and A. L. McClellan, "The Hydrogen Bond," W. J. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, Calif., 1960.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO, CANADA

Bromine Monofluorosulfate

By F. AUBKE and R. J. GILLESPIE

Received August 31, 1967

The preparation and properties of bromine monofluorosulfate $BrOSO_2F$ have been reinvestigated. The electrical conductivity of $BrOSO_2F$ is compared with the conductivities of the other bromine and iodine fluorosulfates which have also been measured over a temperature range. There is no evidence for the formation of either Br^+ or Br_2^+ in solutions of $BrOSO_2F$ in fluorosulfuric acid.

Introduction

It has been shown that peroxydisulfuryl difluoride, $S_2O_6F_2$, reacts directly with bromine to yield bromine(I) fluorosulfate, BrOSO₂F, when Br₂ is in excess, and bromine(III) fluorosulfate, Br(OSO₂F)₃, when $S_2O_6F_2$ is in

excess.¹ Both compounds are also formed when $S_2O_6F_2$ is allowed to react with $CF_3Br.^2$ Bromine(I) fluorosulfate has been described as a red-black liquid of

(1) J. E. Roberts and G. H. Cady, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 352 (1960).

(2) C. T. Ratcliffe and J. M. Shreeve, Inorg. Chem., 3, 631 (1964).

⁽¹⁶⁾ R. G. Dickinson and C. Bilicke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 50, 764 (1928).

⁽¹⁷⁾ E. W. Lund, Acta Chem. Scand., 4, 1109 (1950).

⁽¹⁸⁾ G. J. Snaauw and E. H. Wiebenga, Rec. Trav. Chim., 61, 253 (1942).

⁽²⁰⁾ L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," 3rd ed, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1960.